

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/000,456	Applicant(s) KAGAN ET AL.
	Examiner KAMAL DIVECHA	Art Unit 2451

All Participants:**Status of Application:** Allowed(1) KAMAL DIVECHA.

(3) _____.

(2) Mark Friedman.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 July 2011**Time:** 11am**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

*none***Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/KAMAL B DIVECHA/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2451

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Since the applicant's representative is International based, an email was sent to discuss examiners proposed amendments based on previous email from the applicant. The amendments proposed were including the integrated chip and "external memory" as in the allowed claims..